

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.


Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problems Mailbox.**

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



PATENT
27DV00102

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
MC VEIGH MARKET EVALUATION
Serial No.: 09/864,018 : Examiner:
Filed: May 23, 2001 : Group Art Unit 2163

#4

CERTIFIED COPY OF PRIORITY APPLICATION AND PRIORITY CLAIM

Box: PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231


Sir:

The applicant submits with this communication a certified copy of British Patent Application No. 0012822.3 filed May 25, 2000 by applicant's assignee in support of the priority claim under 35 USC 119.


Respectfully submitted,

MC VEIGH


By


Jay L. Chaskin
Attorney for Applicant

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with sufficient postage to the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on the date indicated below.

By 
Dolores K. Tillson

Date: August 3, 2001



THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE




The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed 
Dated 7 June 2001

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

1. Your reference

7286

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0012822.3

25 MAY 2000

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

GE INTERNATIONAL INC.

3 Shortlands
Hammersmith
London, W6 8BX
United Kingdom

6960140002

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

London, United Kingdom

4. Title of the invention

MARKET EVALUATOR METHOD AND SYSTEM

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

IAN R GOODE

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

GE LONDON PATENT OPERATION
Essex House
12/13 Essex Street
London WC2R 3AA
LONDON, ENGLAND

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

7006935001

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number
(if you know it)

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
 - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
 - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

YES

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.

Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description

4

Claim(s)

2

Abstract

1

Drawing(s)

2+1

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

1

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)

1

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify)

11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

IAN R GOODE

Date

25 MAY 2000

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

IAN R GOODE

020 7240 4610

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Market Evaluator Method and System

This invention relates to a method and system for evaluating a market by comparing selected parameters of a given commodity with those of an external market. The invention is particularly concerned with market evaluations for real estate.

In order to determine the suitability of a piece of real estate for a given purpose, it is desirable to compare, for example, rent per unit area and occupancy efficiency with those pertaining in the external market. Although this can be done by performing calculations given the relevant values for a subject property and the market, it would be desirable to have a readily available visual display showing the comparison immediately after entering the relevant data.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method and system which provides such a visual comparison.

According to the present invention, there is provided a method of evaluating cost and occupancy efficiency of real estate, comprising entering into a computer system the size, rental costs and total occupancy information for a given property, as well as location information;
calculating values of cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency;
providing a point of comparison by determining from a lookup table the representative values for cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency for a property in the location of the given property; and
displaying on a chart, which plots cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency against one another, a point whose co-ordinates represent said calculated values, said chart comprising four quadrants delineated by the lines parallel with the axes of the chart and which pass through said point of comparison.

By arranging that the point of comparison lies substantially centrally in the displayed chart, the four quadrants can be made to represent a first area (lower left quadrant) in which both cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency are better than average, a second area (upper left quadrant) in which cost efficiency is worse than average although occupancy efficiency is better than average, a third area (lower right quadrant) in which occupancy efficiency is worse than average although cost efficiency is better than average, and a fourth area (upper right quadrant) in which both cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency are worse than average.

Thus the use of the invention enables a rapid visual comparison to be made to determine how a given property is performing, or would perform, against the market.

A method and system according to the invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Fig. 1 is a diagram describing the steps taken in carrying out an embodiment of the invention; and

Fig. 2 is a screen display of a computer system programmed to implement an example of the invention.

Referring to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, a system in accordance with the invention is used for market evaluation of real estate. The user enters into a computer system the data (step 1) relevant to a particular property under consideration. To do this, in well-known fashion using for example a keyboard and mouse, the data is entered into windows 11-16 in a screen display as shown in Fig. 2. In windows 11 and 12, which are set up to contain drop-down menus in well-known fashion, the country (A) and city (B) in which the property is located are entered. Window 13 comprises two 'buttons' 131 and 132, where a selection (C) is made between 'downtown' and 'suburbs'. In window 14, the total size, i.e. the floor area (D) of the property is entered, either in square feet in window

141 or in square meters in window 142. Whichever unit is used, the conversion appears in the other window. So, if a size in square feet is entered into window 141, the conversion (divide by 10.76) appears in window 142, and vice versa. The total number of persons (E) in occupancy, or potentially occupying the property, is entered into window 15, and the annual rent (F) in local currency, is entered into the window 16. A further window, not shown, may be provided, for example next to window 16, to enable the rent to be entered in US dollars, in which case the value entered may be used without conversion in the cost efficiency calculations described below.

The computer is programmed to make the following calculations (Fig. 1, step 2). Occupancy efficiency (G) and (H) is calculated as $G = D/E \text{ ft}^2/\text{person}$ and as $H = G/10.76 \text{ m}^2/\text{person}$. Cost efficiency (I) and (J) is calculated as $I = F/D \text{ local currency}/\text{ft}^2/\text{year}$ and $J = I \times \$/\text{local currency}$ (so J is in $\$/\text{ft}^2/\text{year}$). Occupancy efficiencies (G) and (H) are displayed in windows 17 and 18 respectively, and cost efficiencies (I) and (J) are displayed in windows 19 and 20 respectively.

Once all the data has been entered, on selecting the ANALYSE button 21 in the lower right hand corner of the screen, the display chart is adjusted by the software, with reference to a lookup table (Fig. 1, step 3), to place the average occupancy efficiency (G_0 , H_0) and average cost efficiency (I_0 , J_0) for the selected location at the centre 22 of the displayed chart 23 (Fig. 1, step 4). This takes into account the country and city information (A, B) which has been entered, together with the downtown / suburb selection. As noted above, the calculated values of occupancy efficiency (G, H) and cost efficiency (I, J) for the selected property are also displayed in windows 17 - 20. At the same time, the point 24 is plotted (Fig. 1, step 5) on chart 23, labelled 'You are here', and shows the position of the selected property in relation to the average figures represented by the centre 22 of the chart.

The chart 23 is divided into four quadrants 41 - 44 by the 'average' lines 25, 26 for occupancy efficiency and cost efficiency respectively. In the example shown in Fig 2, the average occupancy efficiency for a selected location is 200 ft^2 per

person, and the average cost efficiency is around US \$30 per ft² per year. Thus a property which is plotted in the first quadrant 41, labelled 'In Control', has both its occupancy efficiency and cost efficiency better than the average. A property in the second quadrant 42, labelled 'Improve rent' has an occupancy efficiency better than average, but a cost efficiency worse than average. In this context, when a good value property is being sought, the term 'improve rent' indicates that a rent reduction would be desirable. In the case of a lessor or potential lessor seeking to maximise rent, the chart would need to be re-designed appropriately. A property in the third quadrant 43 of the chart of Fig. 2, labelled 'Improve efficiency' has an occupancy efficiency worse than average, but a cost efficiency better than average. Finally, a property in the fourth quadrant 44, labelled 'Out of control' has both occupancy and cost efficiencies worse than average. In the present example, the selected property, indicated by plotted point 24, falls in this fourth quadrant.

Although the term 'average' has been used in the foregoing description, it is to be understood that this value is a 'representative' value, and could alternatively be a median value, a mean value, or, for certain applications, some other value such as an upper or lower quartile value.

Furthermore, in addition to the use of basic rents, the system could be adapted to accommodate additional factors such as service charges, costs of facilities, and local taxes. These factors could be taken into account by the use of additional windows on the display, and the relevant comparisons taken from the modified lookup tables, using well know techniques such as drop-down menus to enable the appropriate selections to be made.

The displayed chart thus provides an instant and easily comprehended visual assessment of the most important factors in comparing a property with the market.

Claims:

1. Method of evaluating cost and occupancy efficiency of real estate, comprising entering into a computer system the size, rental costs and total occupancy information for a given property, as well as location information; calculating values of cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency; providing a point of comparison by determining from a lookup table the representative values for cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency for a property in the location of the given property; and displaying on a chart, which plots cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency against one another, a point whose co-ordinates represent said calculated values, said chart comprising four quadrants delineated by the lines parallel with the axes of the chart and which pass through said point of comparison.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the cost efficiency is calculated in terms of rental cost per unit area per unit time, and occupancy efficiency is calculated in terms of unit area per occupant.
3. The method of claim 1 wherein said point of comparison is placed substantially centrally in said displayed chart, thereby providing four quadrants of substantially equal areas.
4. The method of claim 2 wherein said quadrants represent a first area where both the cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency are better than the values represented by the point of comparison, second and third areas where either the cost efficiency or the occupancy efficiency are worse than the averages represented by the point of comparison, and a fourth area where both the cost efficiency and the occupancy efficiency are worse than the averages represented by the point of comparison.
5. The method of any one of claims 1 to 4 wherein said representative values are average values.

6. A computer system for evaluating cost and occupancy efficiency of real estate, comprising means for receiving and displaying information comprising size, rental costs and total occupancy information for a given property, as well as location information;
means for calculating values of cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency;
means for providing a point of comparison by determining from a lookup table the representative values for cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency for a property in the location of the given property; and
means for displaying on a chart, which plots cost efficiency and occupancy efficiency against one another, a point whose co-ordinates represent said calculated values, said chart comprising four quadrants delineated by the lines parallel with the axes of the chart and which pass through said point of comparison.

Market Evaluator Method and Sytem

ABSTRACT

Method of evaluating occupancy and cost efficiency of real estate, comprising entering size, total occupancy and rental cost information for a given property, as well as location information (1). Calculations are performed (2) to determine values of occupancy efficiency and cost efficiency (G, J). A point of comparison (G_0, H_0) is determined from a lookup table to provide the average values for occupancy efficiency and cost efficiency for a property in the location of the given property (3). There is then displayed a chart (4), which plots occupancy efficiency and cost efficiency against one another, the chart comprising four quadrants delineated by the lines parallel with the axes of the chart and which pass through the point of comparison (G_0, H_0). A point whose co-ordinates represent the calculated values (G, J) is plotted (5) on the chart to enable a rapid visual assessment of the given property versus the market to be made.

Fig. 1

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




Fig. 1

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




Fig. 2

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)